

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/462,206 | 04/28/2000 | Bernard Dabezies | Q-57442 | 4790 |
| 23373 7 | 590 09/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J | |
| 2100 PENNSY SUITE 800 | 'LVANIA AVENUE, N. | W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | N, DC 20037 | | 3617 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 00/15/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/462,206 | DABEZIES ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Robert J. McCarry, Jr. | 3617 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r | CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[X] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe | ebruary 2006. | `, | | | | |
| , | · | action is non-final. | · | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| ٠,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dienoeiti | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| , | 4) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r alastian requirement | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | · | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | | | |

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledges the amendment of the Abstract and the Specification to overcome the Objections of the Office Action dated 10/24/2005.

The Examiner also acknowledges the claim of Foreign Priority with documents filed on 01/04/2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystrom (US 2,190,334) in view of Ono et al (JP11-254,063).

Nystrom discloses a method of welding at least two sheets (11, 12) in a railway vehicle body along a zone of overlap of these sheets as disclosed in column 4, lines 9-14, characterized in that at least a first of the sheets comprises, near the zone of overlap, stiffening means (18) designed to resist the bending of the sheets along the zone of overlap, in that at least one region of the first sheet is made to project, cantilever fashion, into the zone of overlap, the stiffening means being located near this cantilevered region and in that the welding installation is used to weld the sheets together along the zone of overlap. The stiffening means comprising a profile part which bent away from the first sheet.

Art Unit: 3617

Nystrom discloses all of the features as disclosed above but does not disclose laser welding of the sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap. Nystrom only discloses arc welding of the overlapping sheets. The general concept of using a method of "laser welding of sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" is well know in the art as illustrated by Ono et al which disclose the teaching of "a method of laser welding of sheets in that a pressing mechanism is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" in a structure, see the abstract section. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nystrom to include the use of a method of "laser welding of the sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" in his advantageous method of welding two sheets in order to prevent misalignment of the sheet thereby improving on the vehicle vibration characteristics.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art of Nystrom does not disclose the feature of overlapping sheets as previously stated in column 2, lines 35-36. However, as stated by applicant the prior art of Nystrom discloses overlapping sheets 11 and 12 for

Art Unit: 3617

arc welding in column 4, lines 9-14. While Nystrom only discloses arc welding, the prior of Ono et al discloses the use of laser welding. As stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use laser welding as a more precise connecting means for the railcar components.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J. McCarry, Jr.

Examiner
Art Unit 3617

RJM September 11, 2006

> S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNIC: AND OFFICE AROUND